

Encyclopedia of Chinese Language and Linguistics

Volume 3

Men–Ser

GENERAL EDITOR

**Rint Sybesma**

*(Leiden University)*

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

**Wolfgang Behr**

*(University of Zurich)*

**Yueguo Gu**

*(Chinese Academy of Social Sciences)*

**Zev Handel**

*(University of Washington)*

**C.-T. James Huang**

*(Harvard University)*

**James Myers**

*(National Chung Cheng University)*

# ENCYCLOPEDIA OF CHINESE LANGUAGE AND LINGUISTICS

## Volume 3 Men–Ser

*General Editor*

Rint Sybesma

*Associate Editors*

Wolfgang Behr

Yueguo Gu

Zev Handel

C.-T. James Huang

James Myers



BRILL

LEIDEN • BOSTON

2017

Typeface for the Latin, Greek, and Cyrillic scripts: "Brill". See and download: [brill.com/brill-typeface](http://brill.com/brill-typeface).

ISBN 978-90-04-18643-9 (hardback, set)  
ISBN 978-90-04-26227-0 (hardback, vol. 1)  
ISBN 978-90-04-26223-2 (hardback, vol. 2)  
ISBN 978-90-04-26224-9 (hardback, vol. 3)  
ISBN 978-90-04-26225-6 (hardback, vol. 4)  
ISBN 978-90-04-26226-3 (hardback, vol. 5)

Copyright 2017 by Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, The Netherlands.  
Koninklijke Brill NV incorporates the imprints Brill, Brill Nijhoff, Global Oriental and Hotei Publishing.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use is granted by Koninklijke Brill NV provided that the appropriate fees are paid directly to The Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Suite 910, Danvers, MA 01923, USA. Fees are subject to change.

This book is printed on acid-free paper and produced in a sustainable manner.

- Bradley, David, "Introduction: Language Policy and Language Endangerment in China", in: David Bradley and Joshua A. Fishman, eds., *International Journal of the Sociology of Language* 173, 2005, 1–21.
- Bradley, David, "The Characteristics of the Burmic Family of Tibeto-Burman", *Language and Linguistics* 13/1, 2012, 171–192.
- Dryer, Matthew S., "Word Order in Tibeto-Burman Languages", *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 31/1, 2008, 1–83.
- Chirkova, Katia, "What Defines Qiang-ness: A Look from Southern Qiangic Languages", *Language and Linguistics* 13/1, 2012, 133–170.
- Giersch, Patterson C., *Asian Borderlands: The Transformation of Qing China's Yunnan Frontier*, Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press, 2006.
- Guo, Xiaolin, *State and Ethnicity in China's Southwest*, Leiden: Brill, 2008.
- Hé Jírén 和即仁, *Zàngyǔ yǎnyán wénzì* 藏语语言文字 [Tibetan language and script], in: Yúnnánshěng Dìfāngzhì Biānzhuān Wěiyuánhuì 雲南省地方志編纂委員會 [Yúnnán Gazetteers editing and compiling committee], eds., *Yúnnánshěng zhì* 雲南省志 [Gazetteers of Yúnnán], Kūnmíng 昆明: Yúnnán Rénmín 雲南人民出版社, 1998, 421–441.
- Jacques, Guillaume, *Jiāróngyǔ yánjiū* 嘉戎语研究 [A study of the rGyalrong Language], Běijīng 北京: Mínzú 民族出版社, 2008.
- LaPolla, Randy J., "The Role of Migration and Language Contact in the Development of the Sino-Tibetan Language Family", in: R.M.W. Dixon and Alexandra Y. Aikhenvald, eds., *Areal Diffusion and Genetic Inheritance: Case Studies in Language Change*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001, 225–254.
- LaPolla, Randy J. and Huang Chenglong, *A Grammar of Qiang with Annotated Texts and Glossary*, Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 2003.
- Lee, James, "The Legacy of Immigration in Southwest China, 1250–1850", *Annales de démographie historique*, 1983, 279–304.
- Lǐ Lán 李蓝, "Sìchuān Mùlǐ xiān fāngyán jìlùè 四川木里县方言记略" [The Chinese dialect of Mùlǐ, Sìchuān: A survey], *Fāngyán* 方言 2, 2010, 114–133.
- Lustig, Anton, *A Grammar and Dictionary of Zaiwa*, Brill Tibetan Studies Library 5/11, Leiden: Brill, 2010.
- Myers, Norman, Russell A. Mittermeier, Cristina G. Mittermeier, Gustavo A.B. da Fonseca and Jennifer Kent, "Biodiversity Hotspots for Conservation Priorities", *Nature* 403, 2000, 853–858.
- Nagano Yushiko, ed., *Issues in Tibeto-Burman Historical Linguistics*, Osaka: National Museum of Ethnography, 2009, 319–328.
- Nichols, Johanna, *Linguistic Diversity in Space and Time*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1992.
- Sūn Hóngkāi 孫宏开, "Guānyú bīnwēi yǔyán wèntí 关于濒危语言问题" [On the endangered languages in China], *Yǔyán Jiàoxué yǔ Yánjiū* 语言教学与研究 1, 2001, 1–7.
- Sūn Hóngkāi 孫宏开, ed., *Zhōngguó xīn fāxiàn yǔyán yánjiū cóngshū* 中国新发现语言研究丛书 [Newly discovered minority languages in China series], Běijīng 北京: Chinese Academy of Social Sciences 中國社會科學院, 1997–present.
- Stilo, Donald L., "Iranian as Buffer Zone between the Universal Typologies of Turkic and Semitic", in: Éva Agnes Csató, Bo Isaksson and Carina Jahani, eds., *Linguistic Convergence and Areal Diffusion: Case Studies from Iranian, Semitic and Turkic*, London: RoutledgeCurzon, 2005, 35–63.
- Wang, Xianpu, Zhouhuai Yang, Jye-Su Hong, Iwatsuki Kunio, Yong-Shik Kim, Alan C. Hamilton and Stephen D. Davis, "Regional Overview: China and East Asia", in: Stephen D. Davis, Vernon H. Heywood and A.C. Hamilton, eds., *Centres of Plant Diversity*, vol. II, Oxford: Information Press, 1995, 145–200.
- Zhōngguó shǎoshù mínzú yǔyán jiǎnzhi cóngshū* 中国少数民族语言简志丛书 [Outlines of minority languages of China series], Běijīng 北京: Mínzú 民族出版社, 1950s–1980s.

Katia Chirkova

## Northwestern Medieval Chinese

"Northwestern Medieval Chinese" (NWMC) here refers to the variety (or possibly varieties) of Chinese spoken in and around the Héxī 河西 Corridor (situated in today's Gānsù Province) in the northwest of the Yellow River in late- and post-Táng times (roughly 9th–12th centuries CE). Connecting the Tarim Basin with Northern China, the corridor constituted an important part of the Northern Silk Route, with Dūnhuáng 敦煌 (or Shāzhōu 沙州) as its most important center. Consequently, the variety of Chinese spoken throughout this area is also known as the Shāzhōu Dialect (e.g., Coblin 1988) or alternatively Héxī Dialect (e.g., Takata 1988a).

Northwestern Medieval Chinese merits our attention for several reasons: For one, this variety of Chinese was a major player in the multilingual and -scriptal environment in Dūnhuáng and Turfan, characterized by textual remains in various languages, recorded in a multitude of different scripts (for an overview of attested combinations see, e.g., the table in Yoshida 2004:25). The sources on Northwestern Medieval Chinese as the first larger corpus of Chinese written in segmental scripts may therefore not

only complement the traditional ones in historical phonology, such as normative rime dictionaries and rime tables. Its study is also crucial, for instance, in order to understand the rationale behind phonetic loan characters frequently met with in Dūnhuáng manuscripts and thus to explain part of the textual variation observed among different witnesses of a given Chinese text.

Secondly, the variety of Chinese spoken in the Táng period capital Cháng'ān 長安 (modern-day Xī'ān 西安) likewise “belonged to the great northwestern dialect”, occupying the status of “a somewhat refined version” of it in Takata’s (2004:333) words. A certain distance between these varieties of Chinese notwithstanding, Northwestern Medieval Chinese data is hence of paramount importance in the study of contemporary Chinese transcriptions of foreign languages as well as of the so-called → Sino-Xenic reading traditions of Chinese characters.

As a consequence of the nature of the sources at our disposal—often transcriptions of preexisting texts not originally written in Northwestern Medieval Chinese—grammatical and lexical features of colloquial Northwestern Medieval Chinese are comparatively difficult to recover from the texts (see especially Takata 1988a:ch. 4 for a conspectus of its grammar). It is therefore unsurprising that research in this field has been dominated by the level of phonology, which will also be the focus of the present article.

The reconstruction of the phonological features of Northwestern Medieval Chinese has focused on two periods due to the availability of sources, namely approximately the 9th to 10th centuries and the 12th century. In studies of the later period (Post-Shāzhōu in Coblin’s terms), a central role is occupied by a Tangut–Chinese bilingual glossary from the end of the 12th century, as well as Tangut transcriptions of *dhāraṇī* texts. Our data for the earlier period, on the other hand, chiefly derives from manuscripts among the Dūnhuáng findings containing transcriptions of Chinese in segmental scripts (chiefly Tibetan and Khotanese Brāhmi), as well as from phonetic loans observed in a variety of Chinese language manuscripts that have not necessarily been systematically recorded yet. Sources from

Turfan, e.g., in the Sogdian and Uighur scripts, provide evidence for the use of Northwestern Medieval Chinese further to the northwest (also cf. Takata 2004:333).

According to Takata (1987, 1988a, 2000), there are actually two different varieties of Chinese reflected in the Dūnhuáng materials: among these, one variety is deemed to have been based on the language of Cháng'ān, which was also current in Dūnhuáng prior to the period of Tibetan rule (787–848). The other variety was Northwestern Medieval Chinese proper as spoken in medieval Dūnhuáng, which began to prosper after relations with central China had diminished in the northwestern regions and constant exchange with other areas of China had been cut off. The use of this local dialect in writing proliferated after the middle of the 9th century and in manuscript copies of the 10th century, phonetic loans betraying their Northwestern Medieval Chinese basis can be encountered more frequently (see the example of the *Platform Sūtra* below). During the rule of the Cáo 曹 family over the quasi-independent Dūnhuáng region in the 10th century, Northwestern Medieval Chinese is thought to have acquired the role of a “standard language” in Dūnhuáng (Takata 2000).

Takata (2000) has also drawn attention to the heavy influence of Tibetan, not only during the period of the Dūnhuáng occupation, but also afterwards, especially during the 10th century when Dūnhuáng was semi-autonomous and communication to Central China reduced to a minimum. The copying of scriptures was initiated on a large scale by the Tibetans during the first half of the 9th century when bilingual Chinese-Tibetan communities were prospering. Notably, the custom of using the Tibetan script to write Chinese was also retained in the 10th century after the end of Tibetan rule.

## 1. HISTORY OF THE STUDY OF NORTHWESTERN MEDIEVAL CHINESE

The study of Northwestern Medieval Chinese began during the first decades of the 20th century, when the various expeditions to Central Asia and the subsequent discovery of countless valuable sources at Dūnhuáng, Turfan, and elsewhere provided scholars with manuscripts

featuring transcriptions of Chinese into the Khotanese Brāhmī, Manichean, Sogdian, Tibetan, and Uighur scripts. Up until that time, about the only known specimens of foreign transcriptions of Táng period Chinese were those found in the bilingual Chinese-Tibetan treaty inscription in front of the Jokhang temple in Lhasa, dated 822. Based on rubbings obtained in Běijīng in 1869, the inscription was studied and translated by Bushell (1880:535–538), who already pointed out that some Chinese names (likely following Cháng'ān rather than NWMC pronunciation) are found in transcription in the Tibetan text.

Only a few years had passed since the discoveries made at the beginning of the 20th century before a variety of Chinese exhibiting two rather distinct features was recognized in the growing corpus, even though little more than fragmentary evidence was available at the time: loss of syllable coda *-ŋ* and the presence of *-r*, in parallel to → Sino-Korean *-l*, where mainstream Chinese has *-t*. Both features were already discovered by Müller (1907) in a Sogdian fragment in Manichaean script (Berlin, Turfan Collection, M 115). Staël-Holstein (1910:140–143) adds Uighur data from Turfan on *-r* and somewhat later Müller (1911:94–95) himself notes that *-ŋ* is usually ignored in Uighur transcriptions and also gives a case of *-r* in a Tibetan transcription.

In the following years, some of the manuscripts brought back from Dūnhuáng by Aurel Stein (1862–1943) and Paul Pelliot (1878–1945) were found to contain transcriptions of Chinese texts in Tibetan script in substantial amounts, either together with the original Chinese in the form of reading glosses or in a stand-alone form consisting of the transcription alone. The significance of such transcriptions was early noted by Pelliot (1912:388–389) himself, who adduces them as evidence for the loss of final *-ŋ* in Northwestern Medieval Chinese. When Henri Maspero (1882–1945) wrote his study on the dialect of the Táng capital Cháng'ān (1920), he took into account a fragment of the *Qiānzìwén* 千字文 together with a Tibetan transcription among Pelliot's manuscripts (P.ch.3419), besides Chinese transcriptions of Sanskrit, as well as Sino-Japanese, Sino-Korean, and Sino-Vietnamese character readings. Before

long the same manuscript was repeatedly reproduced and studied (e.g., Haneda 1923; Pelliot and Haneda 1926). In the same decade, several further transcriptional sources in the form of manuscripts of Buddhist content collected by Stein and now forming part of the India Office Library were introduced and studied by Thomas and Clauson (1926 [ms. C129], 1927 [ms. C130]); and Thomas *et al.* (1929 [chiefly ms. C93, but also referring to the “Long Scroll”, on which see below]) (also cf. Miyamoto 1929). It is however Luó Chángpéi 羅常培 (1899–1958), who is to be credited for the first monograph-length attempt at a reconstruction of Northwestern Medieval Chinese (1933), based on these sources in conjunction with a manuscript of Mǎ Rénshòu's 馬仁壽 primer *Kāiméng yàoxùn* 開蒙要訓, containing sound glosses in Chinese (P.ch.2578; colophon dated 929). He also already took into account modern northwestern dialects for comparison. Noting that “no one has ever [...] tried to reconstruct the entire phonological system”, he expressed his “desire to make a definite endeavor in this direction” (1933: viii).

The corpus was enlarged considerably in the following decades. In Paris, the existence of a significant amount of further sources among the Fonds Pelliot tibétain was noted as the cataloguing of the collection progressed. Numerous new manuscripts (P.t. 1 [text 3], 448, 1228, 1230, 1238, 1239, 1253, 1256, 1258, 1262) were thus introduced and studied by Simon (1957, 1958), even before the last of the three volumes of Lalou's catalogue (1939 [P.t.1–849], 1950 [850–1282], 1961 [1283–2216]) was published. The reproduction of a number of manuscripts in the *Choix de documents tibétains conservés à la Bibliothèque nationale* edited by MacDonald and Imaeda (1978, 1979) also fostered new studies, e.g., on the Tibetan translations from the *Shàngshū* 尚書 and *Chūnqiū hòuyǔ* 春秋後語 in P.t.986 and P.t.1291 respectively, which contain numerous Chinese names in transcription (see Huáng 1981 and Coblin 1991a, 1991b on the former; the latter's original was identified in Mǎ 1984). From among Stein's manuscripts in London, two Tibetan–Chinese word-and-phrase books written entirely in Tibetan script were discovered and introduced by Thomas and Giles (1948) (also cf. Ligeti 1968).

Note that while most manuscripts of relevance so far were basically monolingual, we are now also facing truly bilingual ones. Together with some portions of the so-called Long Scroll (IOL C131) as christened by Simon (1958:335)—which was earlier referred to by Thomas *et al.* (1929), but has only been made readily available by Takata (1993) (also cf. Coblin 1995)—they are considered as specimens of a more colloquial language.

Notable other contributions to the field in the second half of the 20th century include Csongor (1960), Miller (1967), and others, but principally the various studies by Takata (1981, 1983, 1987, 1988a, 1991, 1993) and Coblin (1988, 1989, 1994). Especially important here is Takata (1988a), the most exhaustive study of Northwestern Medieval Chinese up to date—going beyond studying only its phonology and phonetics in giving due attention to grammatical matters as well. Its comprehensive treatment of all aspects of Northwestern Medieval Chinese phonology will serve as our basis below (cf. however Coblin for a second perspective, and also Emmerick and Pulleyblank 1993:57–66 for a discussion of some of Takata's views).

Drawing upon his earlier experience with transcriptions of Chinese, Thomas (1937) (also cf. Bailey 1938; Thomas 1938) identified the language of a manuscript in Brāhmī script (IOL C134) as Chinese, the text turning out to be the *Jīngāng jīng* 金剛經 [Diamond Sūtra]. Numerous studies followed, such as Mizutani (1959), Zhāng (1963), Csongor (1972; but cf. already 1959) and Takata (1988a), eventually culminating in a monograph on the manuscript by Emmerick and Pulleyblank (1993). The latter were also the first to include the fragment missing at the beginning of C134, which had been discovered by Emmerick among Pelliot's manuscripts (P.ch.5597). An important recent study is Takeuchi (2008), who provides an extensive treatment of the sound glosses in Brāhmī script added to another manuscript of the *Jīngāng jīng* (Peking University Library, D020), including a comparison with C134/P.ch.5597. Both sources are thought to date from the 10th century (Takata 1988a:40; Takeuchi 2008:170), although C134 may be a copy of an

earlier manuscript, thus mixing two chronological layers (Csongor 1972:67–68; Coblin 1999:112).

Studies on Chinese loanwords in Uighur as well as transcriptional sources in Uighur script were carried out among others by Csongor (1952, 1954) and especially Shōgaito (1987, 1995, 1997, 2001a, 2001b, 2003). A central position here is held by the translation of *Xuánzàng zhuàn* 玄奘傳 into Uighur, usually thought to date from the late 10th or early 11th century, but there are also numerous fragments of relevance (see, e.g., Raschmann and Takata 1993; Zieme 1996, 2012; Yoshida 2000; Shōgaito and Yakup 2001; Umemura and Zieme 2015). Another notable group of sources are Chinese reading glosses on Chinese characters, following however a Sino-Uighur reading tradition (Takata 1985, 1990; Shōgaito 1995).

Hamilton (1981) has drawn attention to two manuscripts from Dūnhuáng (P.t.1895A, P.t.1689) containing transcriptions of Chinese numerals in Sogdian script. More importantly, thanks to Yoshida (1994; also cf. 2013) we have a comprehensive treatment of both transcriptions of Chinese observed in Sogdian language texts (including those written in other scripts than Sogdian script, such as the Manichaean script) as well as of Chinese Buddhist texts in Sogdian transcription. Note however that the latter sources are from Turfan rather than Dūnhuáng (Berlin collection: So 14830 and Mainz 160, 624, assumed by Yoshida to date from the first half of the 8th century and the late 10th or 11th century respectively), which also applies to many of the Uighur sources.

Finally, a certain amount of data on the sound system of Northwestern Medieval Chinese may also be gleaned from the corpora of Northwestern Medieval Chinese-based transcriptions of foreign names and words. For one of these, consisting of Chinese transcriptions of Syriac names, see Takahashi (2008, 2013, 2014).

The following is a list of the chief sources featuring transcriptions of Chinese in Tibetan script (the majority of which are conveniently available in Takata 1988a [TT] and Zhōu and Xiè 2006 [ZX]; the sigla in quotation marks follow Csongor and Takata; those of Zhou and Xie usually differ) as well as in Brāhmī script:



---

**Chinese texts, originals together with Tibetan transcriptions:**


---

“C”—*Qiānzìwén* 千字文 (P.ch.3419 [P.t.1046]) [TT #1; ZX #1];  
 “T”—*Dàshèng zhōngzōng jiànjiě* 大乘中宗見解 (IOL C93 [Ch.80,xi]) [TT #4; ZX #2];  
 “FPa”—*Miàofǎ liánhuá jīng pǔmén pǐn* 妙法蓮華經普門品 (P.t.1262) [TT #10; ZX #3, A].

---

**Chinese texts in Tibetan transcription only:**


---

“FP”—*Miàofǎ liánhuá jīng pǔmén pǐn* 妙法蓮華經普門品 (P.t.1239) [TT #6; ZX #3, B];  
 “K”—*Jīn’gāng bōrě bōluómì jīng* 金剛般若波羅蜜經 (IOL C129) [TT #2; ZX #4];  
 “O” & “Oa”—*Ēmítuó jīng* 阿彌陀經 (IOL C130 [Ch.77,ii,3]) [TT #3; ZX #5];  
 “TD”—*Tiāndì bāyáng shénzhòu jīng* 天地八陽神呪經 (P.t.1258) [TT #5; ZX #6];  
 “NT”—*Nántiānzhú-guó Pútídámó chánshī guānmén* 南天竺國菩提達磨禪師觀門 (P.t.1228) [TT #7; ZX #7];  
 “DA”—*Dàoān fǎshī niànfó zàn* 道安法師念佛讚 (P.t.1253) [TT #8; ZX #8];  
 “P”—*Bōrě bōluómìduō xīnjīng* 般若波羅蜜多心經 (P.t.448) [TT #9; ZX #9];  
 “HS”—*Hànshí piān* 寒食篇 (P.t.1230) [TT #11; ZX #10];  
 “ZC”—[*Záchǎo* 雜抄 (TT) / *Sānhuáng wǔdì xìng* 三皇五帝姓 (ZX)] (P.t.1238) [TT #12; ZX #11];  
 “99”—*Jiǔjiǔ biǎo* 九九表 (P.t.1256) [TT #13; ZX #12];  
 [“Tibeto-Chinese Word-and-Phrase Book”] (Or.8210/S.2736, Or.8210/S.1000) [ZX #13];  
 [Prayer to the Buddhas of the ten directions] (P.t. 1, text 3) [Simon 1957];  
*Miàofǎ liánhuá jīng pǔmén pǐn* 妙法蓮華經普門品 (RAS φ-3256) [Takata 1991, 1992];  
 “L”—[“Long Scroll”] (IOL C131 [Ch.9,ii,17]) [Takata 1993];  
*Wǔjiè* 五戒, followed by *dhāraṇī* (P.t.429) [Takata 1993:377];  
*Yóujiāng lè* 遊江樂 (P.t.1259), *Duì Míngzhǔ* 對明主 (P.t.1235) [Takata 2000].

---

**Tibetan texts containing transcriptions of Chinese:**


---

*Táng-Fān huìméng bēi* 唐蕃會盟碑 (822) [TT #14; ZX #14];  
*Shàngshū* 尚書 (P.t.986) [ZX #16];  
*Kǒngzǐ Xiàngtuó xiàngwèn shū* 孔子項橐相問書 (P.t.992, P.t.1284) [ZX #17];  
*Chūnqiū hòuyǔ* 春秋後語 (P.t.1291) [ZX #18];  
 [Transcribed words in various medical manuscripts] (A: IOL Tib J 756 [S.t.756]; B: P.t.1057; C: IOL Tib J 1246 [I.O.56,57]; D: P.t.127; E: P.t.1044) [ZX #15].

---

**Chinese texts, originals together with Brāhmī transcriptions:**


---

*Jīn’gāng bōrě bōluómì jīng* 金剛般若波羅蜜經 (Běijīng University Library, Do20) [Takeuchi 2008].

---

**Chinese texts in Brāhmī transcriptions only:**


---

“Kbr” (also “V”)—*Jīn’gāng bōrě bōluómì jīng* 金剛般若波羅蜜經 (IOL C134, in: Khot S 7 [Ch.00120]; P.ch.5597 [= fragment of beginning]) [Thomas 1937/1938].  
 (IOL / Or. = British Library, India Office Library / Oriental collections [= Stein]; P.ch. / P.t. = Bibliothèque nationale de France, Pelliot chinois / tibétain; RAS = Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg).

---

A look at Northwestern Medieval Chinese from a different angle, thus complementing the transcriptional data, was made possible through the study of dialect loan characters, mainly starting with the efforts of Shào Róngfēn (1963). An ever-growing number of such cases have been identified in Dūnhuáng manuscripts (see e.g., Dèng and Róng 1999, Anderl 2012).

The tremendous progress made in the field of Tangut studies also opened up the new possibility to study a somewhat later, i.e., Sòng

Dynasty, variety of Northwestern Medieval Chinese through Tangut-Chinese sources such as the bilingual and biscriptual glossary *Fān-Hàn héshí zhǎngzhōng zhū* 番漢合時掌中珠 [The Tangut–Chinese Timely Pearl in the Palm; 1190], as was hinted at already by Hashimoto (1961). The phonological systems of the two languages reflected in this glossary were eventually reconstructed by Gōng Huángchéng (1981, 1989, 1995) and Lǐ Fànwén (1994). More recently, Sūn Bójūn (2007a, 2007b, especially 2010) has contributed significantly to our understanding of the pronunciation of both Tangut and 12th century Northwestern Medieval Chinese through the examination of *dhāraṇī*. Both renderings of preexisting Chinese transcriptions (seen through NWMC looking glasses) into Tangut, as well as new transcriptions in Chinese based on Northwestern Medieval Chinese were produced in the Tangut empire. Most of the texts are datable to the period 1140–1193.

## 2. SOME PHONOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF 10TH AND 12TH CENTURY NORTHWESTERN MEDIEVAL CHINESE

The system of initials in 10th century Northwestern Medieval Chinese as reconstructed by Takata (1988a:107–109; but retranscribed here into IPA) is as follows:

The most salient features of Northwestern Medieval Chinese in terms of initials undoubtedly include: (1) the labials reflect the result of labiodentalization by which *f*, *v*- and *ɱ*- (from *p*-/*pʰ*-, *b*- and *m*-) had been introduced; (2) the devoicing of voiced fricatives (*z*-, *ɣ*- etc., also *v*- < *b*-) as well as stops and affricates (*b*-, *d*-, *dz*- etc.); (3) the so-called denasalization of what were originally pure nasals, yielding pre-nasalized voiced consonants, which in a sense fill the gap left behind by (2) *ɱv*- (from *ɱ*-) and *ɱz*- (*ɱ*-) were already fully denasalized to *v*- and *z*- at this stage, whereas in the remaining cases the denasalization was only partial. At least sporadically we also find cases in which nothing corresponds to original *ɱ*- before *-y*-, e.g., *yuàn* 願 (cf. Late Middle Chinese [LMC] *ɱyan*<sup>1</sup>) and near-homophones are repeatedly attested in Tibetan transcriptions as *wen* (Takata 1988a:91, 370–371).

Note regarding (2) that Takata posits two competing dialects: one in which the old voiced stops and affricates had merged with their voiceless aspirated counterparts regardless of tone (e.g., *b* > *pʰ*-; reflected in “T”, “Kbr”—and now also Do20, cf. Takeuchi 2008)—and another in which they had merged with their voiceless unaspirated counterparts (cf. Emmerick and Pulleyblank 1993:61–62 for an alternative view).

The system of initials in 12th century Northwestern Medieval Chinese as reconstructed by both Gōng (1981) and Lǐ (1994) is similar in many

	labial, lab.dent	alveolar	alveo-palatal	retroflex	velar	glottal
stops	-voice, /p/	/t/ /ts/	/tɕ/	/tʂ/	/k/	/ʔ/
	-asp					
	-voice, /pʰ/	/tʰ/ /tsʰ/	/tɕʰ/	/tʂʰ/	/kʰ/	
	+asp					
fricatives	-voice /f/	/s/	/ç/	/ʂ/	/x/	
	+voice /v/		/z/		/j/	
nasals /	/ᵐb/	/ᵐd/	/ᵐdʒ/		/ᵐg/	
prenasalized						
stops						
laterals		/l/				

respects. In fact, this variety shows both the development of *b-*, *d-* etc. > *p<sup>h-</sup>*, *t<sup>h-</sup>*, etc. regardless of tone as in one of the two varieties in the 10th century (as also noted by Takata 2013:101–102) as well as the loss of original *ŋ-* before *-y* and *-w-* (see Gōng 1981:73–74 for examples). The most notable difference is the non-distinction of *tc-*, *tc<sup>h-</sup>*, *c-* vs. *tʂ-*, *tʂ<sup>h-</sup>*, *ʂ-*, leading to only a single series of sibilants. Also note that Lǐ (1994:261–262) has *v-* where Gōng (1981:70–71) assumes *w-*. No separate initial *ʔ-* is reconstructed anymore, but notably some syllables with zero initial from former *ʔ-* appear to have acquired a new (non-phonemic) onset: either [ŋ-] or [ɣ-] in Gōng's (1981:60–61) view. This may also explain the aberrant use, e.g., of 邊 (cf. LMC *ʔat*) for Skt. *ga* (Sūn 2007a:317–318).

As for the finals, we observe several sharp differences between the varieties of Northwestern Medieval Chinese dating from the 10th and 12th centuries respectively. We will concentrate on some noteworthy developments here (Takata 1988a; Coblin 1988; Gōng 1989, 1995; Lǐ 1994 may be consulted for a fuller picture). Among the first characteristics of 10th century Northwestern Medieval Chinese that were noticed around 1900 is the loss of final *-ŋ* in certain rime groups together with the lenition of original *-t*, which almost universally appears as *-r*. The former phenomenon apparently started from the traditional rime groups *dàng* 宕 and *gěng* 梗—reconstructed as *\*(i)(w)ɔ̃* and *\*(i)(w)ɛĩ* by Takata—where it is especially salient. Next was the *tōng* 通 group, Takata's *\*(i)uŋ*, which still has *-ŋ* according to the Tibetan transcriptions, but frequently drops the coda consonant in renderings in Khotanese Brāhmī script (Takata 1988a:178, especially 1988b; Takeuchi 2008:183–184). Note that in the latter case, *anusvāra* <ṃ> is often, although not consistently, used in all three groups mentioned so far to indicate nasalization of the preceding vowel, i.e., here *-ũ* from *-uŋ* etc. (Nasalized allophones of vowels in syllables with nasal finals are likewise indicated by the presence of *anusvāra*.) In sharp contrast to this, *-ŋ* is retained in the rime groups *jiāng* 江, *\*(i)uŋ*, as well as *zēng* 曾, *\*(i)(w)əŋ*.

The remaining nasal finals *-m* and *-n*, as well as the earlier stop finals *-p* and *-k* are generally

preserved in Tibetan transcriptions. Brāhmī renderings again differ insofar as they show signs of lenition in the form of fricative articulation for the other stops as well, frequently rendering *-k* as *-hā* and sometimes also *-p* as *-hvā* (Takata 1988b; Emmerick and Pulleyblank 1993:42). Further evidence for lenition may be gleaned from Uighur transcriptions, which usually have *-p*, *-r* and *-k* ~ *-q/-q̄*, the latter being interpreted as [ɣ] (see, e.g., Shōgaito 1987:78). Note that the order in which lenition occurred in Northwestern Medieval Chinese is thus *-t* → *-k* → *-p*.

Finally, the sources in Brāhmī script provide us with evidence for the development of an “apical vowel” or rather syllabic [ʒ] in place of earlier [i] after (non-retroflex) sibilant initials. Compare renderings involving <sy> [z], such as *siysi*, *siysä* for *sì* 四 or *tsiysi* for *cì* 次 (cf. Takata 1988a:129–131; Emmerick and Pulleyblank 1993:45, 48–49). This is reminiscent of Korean transcriptions of Chinese from the 15th century onwards, rendering e.g., *sì* 四 as *suz* ㅅ [sɨz].

A detailed picture of the tonal system of Northwestern Medieval Chinese is lacking (cf. Takata 1988a:182–185), but it appears that the traditional *shǎng* 上 and *qù* 去 tones had merged after voiced obstruent initials, as already pointed out by Shào (1963 [2009: 260–264]).

In the 12th-century variety of Northwestern Medieval Chinese, the final stage in the reduction of coda consonants is reached. Thus, all former oral stop codas are lost entirely (Gōng 1989 [2002:285–296]), or at least had merged into *-ʔ* (Lǐ 1994:325–326). Concerning the nasal codas, several diverging views have been presented so far: Gōng (1989 [2002:296–323]) holds not only that all velar nasal codas are lost as segments—leading to open syllables, at least part of which he reconstructs with nasalized vowels (*-ũ* < *-uŋ*, *-ã* < *-əŋ* etc., but, e.g., *-jij* < *-ieŋ*)—but also that former *-n* vs. *-m* are both lost as segments, generally leaving a trace in the form of nasalization of the preceding vowel. Lǐ (1994:329–332) on the other hand mostly has *-n* for older *-m*, whereas for older *-n* and *-ŋ* he sometimes reconstructs them still as *-n/-ŋ*, but mostly as nasal vowels; nasality is retained without exception here. Transcriptions of *dhāraṇī* may shed some light on the issue—however,

even if former *-n* is for instance found to render Sanskrit *-n* and *-ñ* (Sūn 2007a:313, 2007b:18) phonetic details beyond the retention of nasality in some form or another are difficult to specify.

### 3. DIALECT LOANS AS A SOURCE FOR THE STUDY OF NORTHWESTERN MEDIEVAL CHINESE

Besides the various types of transcriptions of Chinese in non-Chinese scripts, phonetic loans as attested in a large variety of Dūnhuáng materials (usually “non-canonical” writings such as treatises, sermon transcripts, popular narratives, etc.) are another important witness of Northwestern Medieval Chinese. Consider for instance the numerous textual variants observed between the different Dūnhuáng manuscripts of the well-known *Lìu-zǔ tánjīng* 六祖壇經 [Platform Sūtra of the Sixth Patriarch] as assembled in the appendix to Anderl (2012), some of which clearly have a Northwestern Medieval Chinese background. While all the manuscripts show both “regular” loans and dialect-based variations, they are most commonly seen in the 10th century Stein copy (Or.8210/S.5475; abbreviated as “S.” in the following, whereas “D.” refers to Dūnhuáng Museum, Dūnbó 77). Characteristic types of loans (given below in the form “loan character → conventional character”) with parallels in the transcriptional sources include for instance the following. (The reconstructed NWMC forms in parentheses are Takata’s who uses superscript and subscript numbers to indicate the traditional four tones in the *yīn* 陰 and *yáng* 陽 registers respectively, with subscript “2–3” referring to the merged *shǎng* and *qù* tones after voiced obstruent initials; cf. Takata 1988a:303.)

- a. What were originally open syllables and ones with final *-ŋ* are frequently equated, e.g., *tǐ* 體 (\*t<sup>h</sup>ie<sub>1</sub>i<sup>2</sup>) → *tīng* 聽 (\*t<sup>h</sup>ie<sub>1</sub>i<sup>1</sup>) [S.], *dīng* 定 (\*t<sup>h</sup>ie<sub>1</sub>i<sup>1</sup>) → *dì* 弟 (\*t<sup>h</sup>ie<sub>2-3</sub>) [S.], *lǐ* 禮 (\*li<sub>1</sub>ie<sub>2</sub>) → *līng* 令 (\*li<sub>3</sub>ē<sub>3</sub>) [S.] etc.;
- b. \*[i] and \*[y] are commonly confounded (also cf. Takata 1988a:118): *yì* 義 (\*ŋgi<sub>3</sub>) → *yǔ* 語 (\*ŋgy<sub>2</sub>) [S.] and *yǔ* 語 → *yì* 議 (\*ŋgi<sub>3</sub>) [D., S.], *qǐ* 起 (\*k<sup>h</sup>i<sup>2</sup>) → *qù* 去 (\*k<sup>h</sup>y<sup>3</sup>) [S.] and *qù* 去

→ *qǐ* 起 [D., S.], *zhī* 之 (\*t<sup>h</sup>ei<sup>1</sup>) → *zhū* 諸 (\*t<sup>h</sup>ey<sup>1</sup>) [S.] etc.; and

- c. \*[iu] and \*[wi] are sometimes confounded (also cf. Takata 1988a:131–132): *xū* 須 (\*siu<sup>1</sup>) → *suī* 雖 (\*s<sup>w</sup>i<sup>1</sup>) [D., S.] and *suī* 雖 → *xū* 須 [D.] etc.

The abundant use of such loans especially in manuscripts dating from (late-)Táng times testifies to the important role “orality” plays here. Recording the vocalization of a text—note that the *Platform Sūtra* was originally the transcript of a sermon—was apparently more important for some scribes than standard orthographic usage of Chinese characters, whereas in other cases the lack of adequate training or the need to transcribe quickly rather than accurately may have yielded the same results.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anderl, Christoph, Kevin Dippner and Øystein Krogh Visted, “Some Reflections on the Markup and Analysis of Dūnhuáng Manuscripts”, *Chung-Hwa Buddhist Journal* 25, 2012, 7–50.
- Bailey, Harold Walter, “Vajra-prajñā-pāramitā” and “Postscriptum”, *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft* 92, 1938, 579–593, 605–606.
- Bushell, Stephen Wootton, “The Early History of Tibet. From Chinese Sources”, *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, New Series* 12, 1880, 435–541.
- Coblin, W. South, “Notes on the Finals of a Northwest Dialect of Tang Times”, *Bulletin of the Institute of History and Philology Academia Sinica* 59/3, 1988, 835–890.
- Coblin, W. South, “Notes on the Initials of a Northwest Dialect of Tang Times”, in: Zhōngyāng yánjiūyuàn 中央研究院, ed., *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Sinology, Section on Linguistics and Paleography | Zhōngyāng yánjiūyuàn dièrjiè guójiè Hànxué huìyì lùnwénjí* (yǔyán yǔ wénzì zǔ) 中央研究院第二屆國際漢學會議論文集 (語言與文字組), Taipei: Academia Sinica, 1989, 125–144.
- Coblin, W. South, “A Study of the Old Tibetan *Shangshu* Paraphrase, Part I”, *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 111/2, 1991a, 303–322.
- Coblin, W. South, “A Study of the Old Tibetan *Shangshu* Paraphrase, Part II”, *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 111/3, 1991b, 523–539.
- Coblin, W. South, *A Compendium of Phonetics in Northwest Chinese*, Berkeley: University of California, 1994.

- Coblin, W. South, "Two Notes on the London Long Scroll", *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies* 58/1, 1995, 104–108.
- Coblin, W. South, "Periodization in Northwest Chinese Dialect History", *Journal of Chinese Linguistics* 27/1, 1999, 104–119.
- Csongor, Barnabas, "Chinese in the Uighur Script of the T'ang-period", *Acta Orientalia Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* II, 1952, 73–121.
- Csongor, Barnabas, "Some more Chinese Glosses in Uighur Script", *Acta Orientalia Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* IV, 1954, 251–257.
- Csongor, Barnabas, "A Contribution to the History of the Ch'ing yin", *Acta Orientalia Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* IX, 1959, 75–83.
- Csongor, Barnabas, "Some Chinese Texts in Tibetan Script from Tun-huang", *Acta Orientalia Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* X, 1960, 97–140.
- Csongor, Barnabas, "A Chinese Buddhist Text in Brāhmī Script", *Unicorn (Chi-lin)* 10, 1972, 36–77.
- Dèng Wénkuān 鄧文寬 and Róng Xīnkuān 榮新寬, *Dūnbó běn Chánjī lùjiào* 敦博本禪籍錄校 [Chán scriptures preserved at the Dūnhuáng Museum, collected and collated], Nánjīng 南京: Jiāngsū gǔjí 江蘇古籍出版社, 1999.
- Emmerick, Ronald Eric and Edwin G. Pulleyblank, *A Chinese Text in Central Asian Brahmī Script: New Evidence for the Pronunciation of Late Middle Chinese and Khotanese*, Roma: Istituto Italiano per il Medio ed Estremo Oriente, 1993.
- Gōng Huángchéng 龔煌城, "Shí-èr shìjì-mò Hànyǔ de xībèi fāngyīn (Shēngmǔ bùfēn) 十二世紀末漢語的西北方音(聲母部分)" [The late 12th-century Northwestern Dialect of Chinese (Part on initials)], *Zhōngyāng yánjiūyuàn lǐshǐ yǔyán yánjiūsuǒ jíkān* 中央研究院歷史語言研究所集刊 52/1, 1981, 37–78, reprinted in: Gōng Huángchéng 龔煌城 2002: 243–281.
- Gōng Huángchéng 龔煌城, "Shí-èr shìjì-mò Hànyǔ de xībèi fāngyīn (Yùnwěi wèntí) 十二世紀末漢語的西北方音(韻尾問題)" [The Northwestern Dialect of Chinese at the end of the 12th century (Problem concerning the finals)], in: Zhōngyāng yánjiūyuàn 中央研究院, ed., *Zhōngyāng yánjiūyuàn dièrjiè guójiè Hànxué huìyì lǐnwénjí (Yǔyán yǔ wénzì zǔ)* 中央研究院第二屆國際漢學會議論文集(語言與文字組), Taipei 台北: Zhōngyāng yánjiūyuàn 中央研究院, 1989, 145–190, reprinted in: Gōng Huángchéng 龔煌城 2002: 283–330.
- Gōng Huángchéng 龔煌城, "Shí-èr shìjì-mò Hànyǔ de xībèi fāngyīn yùnmǔ xìtǒng de gòunǐ 十二世紀末漢語西北方音韻母系統的構擬" [A reconstruction of the system of finals of the Northwestern Dialect of Chinese at the end of the 12th century], in: Tsai-Fa Cheng, Yafei Li and Hongming Zhang, eds., *Proceedings of the Joint Meeting of the 4th International Conference on Chinese Linguistics and the 7th North American Conference on Chinese Linguistics*, 1995, reprinted in: Gōng Huángchéng 龔煌城 2002: 331–377.
- Gōng Huángchéng 龔煌城, *Gōng Huángchéng Hànzàngyǔ bǐjiào yánjiū lǐnwénjí* 龔煌城漢藏語比較研究論文集 [Collection of papers by Gōng Huángchéng on Sino-Tibetan comparative linguistics], Taipei 台北: Zhōngyāng yánjiūyuàn yǔyánxué yánjiūsuǒ 中央研究院語言學研究所, 2002.
- Hamilton, James, "Les nombres chinois de un à trente en transcription sogdienne" [The Chinese numbers from one to thirty in Sogdian transcription], in: Michel Soymié, ed., *Nouvelles contributions aux études de Touen-houang* [New contributions to Dūnhuáng studies], Genève: Librairie Droz, 1981, 295–310.
- Haneda Tōru 羽田亨, "Kan-Ban taion Senjimon-no dankan 漢蕃對音千字文的斷簡" [A fragment of the Qiānzìwén with Tibetan transcriptions], *Tōyō gakuō* 東洋學報 13/3, 1923, 84–104.
- Hashimoto Mantarō 橋本萬太郎, "Shōchūshu-no Tangūto-Kan taion kenkyū-no hōhō: ko-N.A. Njevskij kyōju ikōshū Tangutica (Tangutska Filologija)-no kankō-ni yosete 掌中珠のタンゲート・漢對音研究の方法——故 N.A. Njevskij 教授遺稿集 TANGUTICA (Tangutska Filologija) の刊行によせて" [On the methodology of studying the Tangut-Chinese transcriptions in the Pearl in the Palm: On occasion of the publication of TANGUTICA (Tangutska Filologija), posthumous writings of the late Professor N.A. Njevskij], *Chūgokugogaku* 中國語學 109, 1961, 13–16.
- Huáng Bùfán 黃布凡, "Shàngshū sìpiān gǔzàngwén yìwén de chūbù yánjiū '尚書' 四篇古藏文譯文的初步研究" [Preliminary research on the translation into Old Tibetan of four chapters from the Shàngshū], *Yǔyán yánjiū* 語言研究 1, 1981, 203–232.
- Lalou, Marcelle, *Inventaire des Manuscrits tibétains de Touen-houang conservés à la Bibliothèque Nationale (Fonds Pelliot tibétain) nos 1–849. I* [Inventory of Tibetan manuscripts from Dūnhuáng, kept in the Bibliothèque Nationale (Pelliot Tibetan Fund), numbers 1–849. I], Paris: Adrien-Maisonneuve, 1939; *Nos 850–1109* [read: 850–1282]. *II*, Paris: Bibliothèque Nationale, 1950; *Nos 1283–2216. III*, Paris: Bibliothèque Nationale, 1961.
- Lǐ Fànwén 李范文, *Sòng-dài xībèi fāngyīn* 宋代西北方音 [The Northwestern Dialect of the Song Dynasty], Běijīng 北京: Xīnhuá shūdiàn 新華書店, 1994.
- Ligeti, Louis, "Notes sur le lexique sino-tibétain de Touen-houang en écriture tibétaine" [Notes on Sino-Tibetan lexicon in Tibetan writing from Dūnhuáng], *Acta Orientalia Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* XXI, 1968, 265–288.
- Luó Chángpéi 羅常培, *Táng-Wǔdài Xībèi fāngyīn* 唐五代西北方音 [The Northwestern Dialect of the Táng and Five Dynasties period], Shànghǎi 上海: Academia Sinica, 1933.
- Mǎ Míngdá 馬明達, "P.t.1291-hào Dūnhuáng zàngwén wénshū yìjié dīngwù P.T.1291 号敦煌藏文文书译解订误" [A revision of the annotated translation of the Tibetan document P.t.1291 from Dūnhuáng], *Dūnhuángxué jíkān* 敦煌學輯刊 6, 1984, 14–24.

- MacDonald, Ariane and Imaeda Yoshiro [Imaeda Yoshiro 今枝由郎], *Choix de documents tibétains conservés à la Bibliothèque nationale, complété par quelques manuscrits de l'India Office et du British Museum*, 2 vols. [Selection of Tibetan documents preserved in the Bibliothèque Nationale, supplemented by some manuscripts of the India Office and the British Museum], Paris: Bibliothèque Nationale, 1978, 1979.
- Maspero, Henri, "Le dialecte de Tch'ang-ngan sous les T'ang" [The Cháng'ān dialect during the Táng], *Bulletin de l'Ecole française d'Extrême-Orient* 20, 1920, 1–124.
- Miller, Roy Andrew, "Some Problems in Tibetan Transcriptions of Chinese from Tun-huang", *Monumenta Serica* 26, 1967, 123–148.
- Miyamoto Shōson 宮本正尊, "Tonkō shutsudo Daijō chūsō kenkai oyobi sono kenkyū 燉煌出土大乘中宗見解及びその研究" [The *Dàshèng zhōngzōng jiānjiě* excavated from Dūnhuáng and studies on it], *Shūkyō kenkyū* 宗教研究 49, 6/4, 1929, 103–128.
- Mizutani Shinjō 水谷真成, "Brāhmī moji tensha Rajū-yaku *Kongōkyō*-no kanjian Brāhmī 文字転写『羅什訳金剛經』の漢字音" [The Chinese character readings in a manuscript of Kumārajīva's translation of the *Diamond Sūtra* transcribed into Brāhmī script], *Nagoya daigaku bungakubu jissūnen kinen ronshū* 名古屋大学文学部十周年記念論集, 1959, 749–774.
- Müller, Friedrich Wilhelm Karl, "Die 'persischen' Kalenderausdrücke im chinesischen Tripiṭaka" ["Persian" calendar expressions in the Chinese Tripiṭaka], *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Jahrgang 1907*, Berlin: Verlag der Königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1907, 458–465.
- Müller, Friedrich Wilhelm Karl, "Uigurica II", *Abhandlungen der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. 1910. Philosophisch-historische Classe*, Berlin: Verlag der Königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1911, 1–110 [separate pagination].
- Pelliot, Paul, "Kao-tch'ang, Qoço, Houo-tcheou et Qarâ-khodja", *Journal asiatique* (X<sup>e</sup> série) XIX, 1912, 579–603.
- Pelliot, Paul and Haneda Tōru 羽田亨, *Tonkō isho: Eibun daijūshū 燉煌遺書: 影印本第一集* [Textual remains from Dūnhuáng: First series of facsimiles]/*Manuscrits de Touen-houang Conservés à la Bibliothèque Nationale de Paris. Série in-folio I–IV*, Shanghai 上海 / Kyōto 京都: Tōa kōkyūikai 東亞研究所會, 1926.
- Raschmann, Simone-Christiane and Takata Tokio, "Ein chinesischer Turfan-Text mit uigurischen phonetischen Glossen" [A Chinese Turfan text with phonetic glosses in Uighur], *Altorientalische Forschungen* 20/2, 1993, 391–396.
- Shào Róngfēn 邵榮芬, "Dūnhuáng súwénxué zhōng de biézi yìwén hé Táng Wǔdài Xīběi fāngyīn 燉煌俗文學中的別字異文和唐五代西北方音" [Erroneous and variant characters in the popular literature of the Dūnhuáng and the Northwestern Dialect of the Táng and Five Dynasties periods], *Zhōngguó yǔwén* 中國語文 3, 1963, 193–217, reprinted in: Shào Róngfēn 邵榮芬 2009:200–274.]
- Shào Róngfēn 邵榮芬, *Shào Róngfēn yǔyánxué lùnwénjí* 邵榮芬語言學論文集 [Shào Róngfēn's collected papers on linguistics], Běijīng 北京: Shāngwù 商務印書館, 2009.
- Shōgaito Masahiro 庄垣内正弘, "Uiguru bunken-ni dōnyū-sareta Kango-ni kansuru kenkyū ウイグル文献に導入された漢語に関する研究" [A study on Chinese words in Uighur literature], *Nairiku Ajia gengo-no kenkyū* 内陸アジア言語の研究 2, 1987, 17–156.
- Shōgaito Masahiro 庄垣内正弘, "Uiguru moji onshasareta kango butten danpen-ni tsuite: Uiguru kanjian-no kenkyū ウイグル文字音写された漢語仏典断片について——ウイグル漢字音の研究" [On fragments of Chinese Buddhist texts transcribed into Uighur script: A study into Sino-Uighur character readings], *Gengogaku kenkyū* 言語學研究 14, 1995, 65–153.
- Shōgaito Masahiro 庄垣内正弘, "Uiguru moji onshasareta Kango butten danpen-ni tsuite: Uiguru kanjian-no kenkyū (zoku) ウイグル文字音写された漢語仏典断片について——ウイグル漢字音の研究(続)" [On fragments of Chinese Buddhist texts transcribed into Uighur Script: A study into Sino-Uighur character readings (Continuation)], *Seinan Ajia kenkyū* 西南アジア研究 46, 1997, 1–31.
- Shōgaito Masahiro 庄垣内正弘, "Rosia shozō Uigurugo danpen-no kenkyū 3 ロシア所蔵ウイグル語断片の研究3" [A study of Uighur fragments preserved in Russia, Part 3], *Kyōto daigaku gengogaku kenkyū* 京都大学言語学研究 20, 2001, 243–277.
- Shōgaito Masahiro 庄垣内正弘 and Abdurishid Yakup, "Four Uyghur fragments of *Qian-zi-wen* 'Thousand Character Essay', "Addendum", *Turkic Languages* 5/1, 2001, 3–28, 313–317.
- Shōgaito Masahiro 庄垣内正弘, *Roshia shozō Uigurugo bunken-no kenkyū: Uiguru moji hyōki kanbun to Uigurugo butten tekisuto* ロシア所蔵ウイグル語文献の研究——ウイグル文字表記漢文とウイグル語仏典テキスト [A study of Uighur texts preserved in Russia: Chinese texts in Uighur transcription and Uighur language Buddhist texts], (Yūrashia kogo bunken kenkyū sōsho ユーラシア古語文献研究叢書; 1), Kyōto 京都: Kyōto daigaku daigakuin bungaku kenkyūka 京都大学大学院文学研究科, 2003.
- Simon, Walter, "A Chinese Prayer in Tibetan Script", in: Kshitis Roy, ed., *Liebhenthal Festschrift* (= *Sino-Indian Studies* 5/3–4), Santiniketan: Visvabharati, 1957, 192–199.
- Simon, Walter, "A Note on Chinese Texts in Tibetan Transcription", *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies* 21/2, 1958, 334–343.
- Staël-Holstein, A[lexander] von, "Bemerkungen zu den Brāhmiglossen des Ṭiṣastvustik-Manuscripts

- (Mus. As. Kr. VII) [Comments on the Brāhmīglossen in the Ṭiṣastvustik manuscripts], *Ṭiṣastvustik. Ein in türkischer Sprache bearbeitetes buddhistisches Sūtra* [Ṭiṣastvustik. A Buddhist sūtra in Turkish], St. Petersburg: Académie impériale des Sciences, 1910, 77–143.
- Sūn Bójūn 孙伯君, “Xixià fōjīng fānyì de yòngzì tēdiǎn yǔ yījīng shídài de pāndìng 西夏佛經翻譯的用字特點與譯經時代的判定” [Determining the date of Buddhist sūtras translated in Xixià based on peculiarities of the Chinese characters used], *Zhōnghuá wénshǐ lùncóng* 中華文史論叢 86, 2007a, 307–367.
- Sūn Bójūn 孙伯君, “Xixià yījīng de Fàn-Hàn duìyīn yǔ Hànyǔ xiběi fāngyīn 西夏译经的梵汉对音与汉语西北方音” [Sanskrit-Chinese transcriptions in the sūtras translated in Xixià and the Northwestern Dialect of Chinese], *Yǔyán yánjiū* 语言研究 1, 2007b, 12–19.
- Sūn Bójūn 孙伯君, *Xixià xīnyì fōjīng tuólúoní de duìyīn yánjiū* 西夏新译佛经陀罗尼的对音研究 / *Researches on the Newly Transcribed Dharanis in Xixià*, Běijīng 北京: Zhōngguó shèhuì kēxué 中国科学出版社, 2010.
- Takahashi Hidemi [高橋英海], “Transcribed Proper Names in Chinese Syriac Christian Documents”, in: George Anton Kiraz, ed., *Malphono w-Rabod-Malphone. Studies in Honor of Sebastian P. Brock*, Piscataway: Gorgias Press, 2008, 631–662.
- Takahashi Hidemi [高橋英海], “On Some Transcriptions of Syriac Names in Chinese-Language *Jingjiao* Documents”, in: Táng Lì [唐莉] and Dietmar W. Winkler, eds., *From the Oxus River to the Chinese Shores. Studies on East Syriac Christianity in China and Central Asia*, Berlin: Lit, 2013, 13–24.
- Takahashi Hidemi [高橋英海], “Transcription of Syriac in Chinese and Chinese in Syriac Script in the Tang Period”, in: Johannes den Heijer, Andrea Schmidt and Tamara Pataridze, eds., *Scripts Beyond Borders: A Survey of Allographic Traditions in the Euro-Mediterranean World*, Leuven: Peeters, 2014, 329–349.
- Takata Tokio, “Distinction des deux médiales de la division III de l’ancien chinois dans les documents en écriture tibétaine” [Distinction between the two medials of division III of ancient Chinese in Tibetan documents], *Cahiers de linguistique Asie Orientale* 9, 1981, 36–44.
- Takata Tokio 高田時雄, “Chibetto moji tensha *Amida-kyō*-no okugaki: Zō-Kan taion shiryō-no nendai-ni tsuite-no kangae チベット文字転写阿弥陀經の奥書: 藏漢对音資料の年代についての考え” [The colophon of the *Ēmituó jīng* transcribed into Tibetan script: A note on the date of the Tibetan-Chinese transcriptional materials], *Jinbun kenkyū* 人文研究 65, 1983, 1–13.
- Takata Tokio 高田時雄, “Uiguru jion-kō ウイグル字音考” [A study of Sino-Uighur character readings], *Tōhōgaku* 東方學 70, 1985, 150–134.
- Takata Tokio, “Note sur le dialecte chinois de la région du Hexi 河西 aux IX<sup>e</sup>-X<sup>e</sup> siècles” [A note on the Chinese dialect of the Hexi 河西 region during the 9th and 10th centuries], *Cahiers d’Extreme-Asie* 3, 1987, 93–102.
- Takata Tokio 高田時雄, *Tonkō shiryō-ni yoru Chūgokugoshi-no kenkyū: kyū, jusseiki-no Kasei hōgen* 敦煌資料による中國語史の研究: 九・十世紀の河西方言 [A historical study of the Chinese language based on Dūnhuáng materials: The Héxī dialect of the 9th and 10th centuries], Tōkyō 東京: Sōbunsha 創文社, 1988a.
- Takata Tokio 高田時雄, “Kōtan monjo-chū-no Kango goi コータン文書中の漢語語彙” [Chinese words in Khotanese documents], in: Ozaki Yūjirō 尾崎雄二郎 and Hirata Shōji 平田昌司, eds., *Kangoshi-no shomondai* 漢語史の諸問題, Kyōto 京都: Kyōto daigaku jinbun kagaku kenkyūjo 京都大學人文科學研究所, 1988b, 71–127.
- Takata Tokio 高田時雄, “Uiguru jion-shi taigai ウイグル字音史大概” [An outline of the history of Sino-Uighur character readings], *Tōhō gaku* 東方學報 62, 1990, 329–343.
- Takata Tokio 高田時雄, “Reningurādo-ni aru Chibetto moji tensha *Hokkekyō Fumonbon* レニングラードにあるチベット文字轉寫法華經普門品” [The Pūmēn chapter of the *Lotus sūtra* in Tibetan transcription preserved in Leningrad], *Kōbe-shi Gaikokugo daigaku gaikokugaku kenkyū* 神戸市外国語大学外国語研究, 1991, 23–34.
- Takata Tokio 高田時雄, “Reningurādo-ni aru Chibetto moji tensha *Hokkekyō Fumonbon* (zoku) レニングラードにあるチベット文字轉寫法華經普門品 (續)” [The Tibetan transcription of the Pūmēn chapter of the *Lotus Sūtra* preserved in Leningrad], *Nairiku Ajia gengo-no kenkyū* 内陸アジア言語の研究 7, 1992, 13–41.
- Takata Tokio 高田時雄, “Chibetto moji shosha ‘chōkan’-no kenkyū (honbun-hen) チベット文字書寫「長卷」の研究 (本文編)” [A study of the “Long Scroll” in Tibetan script (Text in facsimile and transcription)], *Tōhō gaku* 東方學報 65, 1993, 380–313.
- Takata Tokio, “Multilingualism in Tun-huang”, *Acta Asiatica, Bulletin of the Institute of Eastern Culture* 78, 2000, 49–70.
- Takata Tokio 高田時雄, “The Chinese Language in Turfan with a Special Focus on the Qieyun Fragments”, in: Desmond Durkin-Meisterernst, Simone-Christiane Raschmann, Jens Wilkens, Marianne Yaldiz, Peter Zieme, eds., *Turfan Revisited—The First Century of Research into the Arts and Culture of the Silk Road*, (*Monographien zur indischen Archäologie, Kunst und Philologie*; 17), Berlin: Reimer, 2004, 333–340.
- Takata Tokio 高田時雄, “Gūdài xiběi fāngyán de xiàwèi biàntǐ 古代西北方言的下位变体” [Phonological variation in the ancient Northwestern Dialect], tr. Shǐ Shūqín 史淑琴, *Dūnhuáng yánjiū* 敦煌研究 2/138, 2013, 100–102.
- Takeuchi Yasunori 武内康則, “Burāfumī moji-de onchū-o fushita kanbun kyōten-ni tsuite: Hokudai

- Dozo *Kongō hannya haramitsu-kyō* ブラーフミー文字で音注を附した漢文經典について: 北大 Dozo 『金剛般若波羅蜜經』 [On Chinese scriptures annotated in Brāhmī script: The *Diamond Sūtra* Ms. Peking University Dozo], *Kyōto daigaku gengogaku kenkyū* 京都大学言語学研究 / *Kyoto University Linguistic Research* 27, 2008, 169–188.
- Thomas, Frederick William, “A Buddhist Chinese Text in Brāhmī Script”, *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft* 91, 1937, 1–48.
- Thomas, Frederick William, “Notes in Consideration of Professor Bailey’s Critical Observations”, [untitled reply to Bailey’s “Postscriptum”], *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft* 92, 1938, 594–604, 606–610.
- Thomas, Frederick William and Gerard Leslie Makins Clauson, “A Chinese Buddhist Text in Tibetan Writing”, *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain & Ireland* 58, 1926, 508–526.
- Thomas, Frederick William and Gerard Leslie Makins Clauson, “A Second Chinese Buddhist Text in Tibetan Characters”, *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain & Ireland* 59, 1927, 281–306 [supplementary note on pp. 858–860].
- Thomas, Frederick William and Lionel Giles, “A Tibeto-Chinese Word-and-Phrase Book”, *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies* 12/3–4, 1948, 753–769.
- Thomas, Frederick William, Miyamoto Shōson [宮本正尊] and Gerard Leslie Makins Clauson, “A Chinese Mahāyāna Catechism in Tibetan and Chinese Characters”, *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain & Ireland* 61, 1929, 37–76.
- Umemura Hiroshi [梅村坦] and Peter Zieme, “A Further Fragment of the Old Uighur *Qianziwen*”, *Written Monuments of the Orient* 1, 2015, 3–13.
- Yoshida Yutaka 吉田豊, “Sogudo moji-de hyōki-sareta kanjion ソグド文字で表記された漢字音” [The Chinese characters readings recorded in Sogdian script], *Tōhō gakuho* 東方學報 66, 1994, 271–380.
- Yoshida Yutaka 吉田豊, “Further Remarks on the Sino-Uighur Problem”, *Kōbe-shi gaikokugo daigaku gaikokugaku kenkyū* 神戸市外国語大学外国学研究 45, 2000, 1–11.
- Yoshida Yutaka 吉田豊, “Shirukurōdo shutsudo bunken-ni okeru gengo henka-no nendai kettei: Uigurugo bunken-chū-no shakuyō keishiki-no reikara シルクロード出土文献における言語変化の年代決定——ウイグル語文献中の借用形式の例から” [Dating of linguistic changes encountered in the texts unearthed from the Silk Road: With special reference to the foreign elements found in Uighur texts of the 10th–14th Centuries], *Ex Oriente* 11, 2004, 3–34.
- Yoshida Yutaka 吉田豊, “Buddhist Texts Produced by the Sogdians in China”, in: Matteo de Chiara, Mauro Maggi and Giuliana Martini, eds., *Multilingualism and History of Knowledge*, vol. 1: *Buddhism among the Iranian Peoples of Central Asia*, Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 2013, 155–179.
- Zhāng Qīngcháng 張清常, “Táng-Wúdài xiběi fāngyán yìxiàng cānkào cáiliào: Tiānchéng fānshū *Jīn’gāng jīng* duìyīn cánjuàn” 唐五代西北方言一項參考材料: 天城梵書金剛經對音殘卷 [A source on the Northwestern Dialect of the Táng and Five Dynasties periods: A fragment of the *Diamond Sūtra* in Brāhmī transcription], *Nèiménggǔ dàxué xuébào* (*shèhuìkēxué*) 內蒙古大學學報(社會科學) 2, 1963, 129–143.
- Zhōu Jìwén 周季文 and Xiè Hòufāng 謝後芳, *Dūnhuáng Tǔbō Hàn-Zàng duìyīn zìhuì* 敦煌吐蕃漢藏對音字彙 [A compendium of Tibetan transcriptions of Chinese from Dūnhuáng and Tibet], Běijīng 北京: Zhōngyāng mínzú dàxué 中央民族大學出版社, 2006.
- Zieme, Peter, “A Fragment of the Chinese *Mañju-srīnāmasaṃgīti* in Uigur Script From Turfan”, *Nairiku Ajia gengo-no kenkyū* 內陸アジア言語の研究 XI, 1996, 1–14.
- Zieme, Peter, “A Chinese Chan Text from Dunhuang in Uighur Transcription and in Translation from Turfan”, in: Irina Fedorovna Popova and Liu Yi 劉屹, eds., *Dunhuang Studies: Prospects and Problems for the Coming Second Century of Research*, St. Petersburg: Slavia Publishers, 2012, 361–364.

Christoph Anderl & Sven Osterkamp

## Notions of “Chinese”

In general usage, the word “Chinese” has many different meanings. In a general sense, it can be used to refer to the territories, peoples, and languages of the People’s Republic of China or of other states that have controlled all or parts of China. More specifically, it is sometimes used to refer to people who today identify as part of the Hàn 漢 ethnic group or who are retroactively identified with that group, to any or all of the Sinitic languages, past or present (that is, languages belonging to the grouping composed in part of Old Chinese and all its descendants), to the sinographic writing system, and to Modern Standard Mandarin in particular, among other possible referents (→ Names for the Chinese Language). In addition to the above, the English word “Chinese” is used as a translation for a number of different contemporary Sinitic terms, which include *Hànyǔ* 漢語 ‘Hàn language’, *Hànzú* 漢族 ‘Hàn people’, *Zhōngguóhuà*